arXiv:1207.1672v1 [math.NT] 6 Jul 2012

RANKIN-SELBERG L-FUNCTIONS IN CYCLOTOMIC TOWERS, I

JEANINE VAN ORDER

Abstract. We formulate and establish some analytic estimates towards a generalization of Mazur's conjecture for Rankin-Selberg L-values in the non self-dual setting, with applications to the setting of two-variable main conjectures of Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves. These applications are explained in a sequel article, along with how stronger results towards the conjecture can be deduced from the existence of a suitable p-adic L-function. The purpose of the present article is to consider the problem in a purely analytic context, and in particular to give estimates for the associated averages that might be of independent interest.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Central value formulae 7
3. Harmonic average estimates 9
4. Nonvanishing of sums of tamely ramified characters 19
References 22

1. Introduction

We formulate and establish some analytic estimates towards a generalization of Mazur's conjecture for Rankin-Selberg L-values in the non self-dual setting, with applications to the setting of two-variable main conjectures of Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves (cf. for instance [1], [14], [15] or [22]). These applications are explained in the sequel article [21], along with how stronger results towards the conjecture can be deduced from the existence of a suitable p-adic L-function (e.g. that given by the construction of Hida [10] and Perrin-Riou [15]). These results can be viewed as a partial generalization of the works of Greenberg [8], Rohrlich [16] with [17], Vatsal [23] and Cornut [4]. The purpose of the present article is to consider the problem in a purely analytic context, and in particular to give estimates for the associated averages that might be of independent interest.

Let f be a cuspidal Hecke newform of weight 2, squarefree level N, and trivial Nebentypus. We write

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_f(n) e^{2\pi i n z}, \ z \in \mathfrak{H}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F67; Secondary 11G40, 11R23.

This research was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (FNS) grant 200021-125291.

to denote its normalized Fourier series expansion at infinity. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $D < 0$ and associated quadratic character ω. Let p be an odd rational prime. Let us assume for simplicity that the coprimality condition $(p, ND) = (N, D) = 1$ holds, and moreover that $|D| \geq 7$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a finite order Hecke character of K unramified outside of p and infinity. We shall commit an abuse of notation throughout in also writing $\mathcal{W}$ to denote the finite order character of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K)$ associated to $\mathcal{W}$ via the reciprocity map of class field theory, where K^{ab} denotes the maximal abelian extension of K. Hence, we see that the corresponding character $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}/K)$ factors through the maximal abelian extension of K unramified outside of p, which we denote here by R_∞. Now, it is well known that R_∞ is equal to the compositum $K[p^\infty]K(\mu_{p^\infty})$, where $K[p^\infty] = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} K[p^n]$ is the union of all ring class fields of p-power conductor over K, and $K(\mu_{p^\infty}) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} K(\mu_{p^n})$ is the union of all p-power cyclotomic fields over K. Thus, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(R_\infty/K)$ is isomorphic to a finite extension of $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$. It follows from this description that any finite order Hecke character $\mathcal{W}$ of K unramified outside of p and infinity admits a unique decomposition

$$\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}, \tag{1}$$

where ρ is a ring class character of K of conductor equal to some power of p, χ is a Dirichlet character modulo some power of p, and $\mathbf{N}$ is the norm homomorphism on ideals of K. Let us fix such a character $\mathcal{W}$, writing $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{W}}$ to denote its conductor. A classical construction of Hecke associates to $\mathcal{W}$ a theta series $\Theta(\mathcal{W})$ of weight 1, level

$$\Delta = |D|\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{W}})^2$$

and Nebentypus $\omega\mathcal{W}|_{\mathbf{Q}} = \omega\chi^2$. We consider the Rankin-Selberg L-function of f times $\Theta(\mathcal{W})$, denoted here by $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$, which has the Dirichlet series expansion

$$L(s, f \times \mathcal{W}) = L^{(N)}(2s, \omega\chi^2) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{a})\lambda_f(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}^{-s}. \tag{2}$$

Here, the sum runs over all nonzero integral ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of K, with the usual convention that $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ at ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ that are not prime to the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{W}}$, and $L^{(N)}(s, \omega\chi^2)$ denotes the standard Dirichlet L-function $L(s, \omega\chi^2)$ with Euler factors at primes dividing N removed. This series (2) converges absolutely for $\Re(s) > 1$. In fact, the L-function $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbf{C}$, and its completed L-function

$$\Lambda(s, f \times \mathcal{W}) = (N\Delta)^s \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + 1/2)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + 3/2)L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$$

satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, f \times \mathcal{W}) = \epsilon(s, f \times \mathcal{W})\Lambda(1 - s, f \times \overline{\mathcal{W}}). \tag{3}$$

Here, $\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$, with $\epsilon(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ the epsilon factor of $\Lambda(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ the contragredient character of $\mathcal{W}$. The *root number* $\epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ of $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ is certain a complex number of modulus one. More concretely, a standard computation of the functional equation (3) via convolution shows that $\epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) = -\omega\chi^2(N)$ (cf. for instance [9, § IV.1] with [15]). In the setting where $\mathcal{W} = \rho$ is a ring class character, or where $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi_0 \circ \mathbf{N}$ for ρ a ring class character times the quadratic Dirichlet character χ_0 of conductor p, it is simple to see the coefficients in the Dirichlet series expansion of $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ are real valued, and that the root number $\epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ takes values in the set $\{\pm 1\}$. In this setting, $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ is said to be *self dual.* Moreover, if $\mathcal{W} = \rho$ is a ring class character, it is easy to see that the associated functional equation (3) relates values of the same L-function $L(s, f \times \rho)$ on either side. The root number $\epsilon(1/2, f \times \rho)$ then takes real values in $\{\pm 1\}$. In particular, $\epsilon(1/2, f \times \rho)$ is -1, then the value $L(1/2, f \times \rho)$ is forced to vanish by (3). Let us for future reference call a pair $(f, \mathcal{W}) = (f, \rho)$ is *exceptional* in this particular setting, i.e. when (i) $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ is self dual, (ii) the functional equation (3) relates the same L-function on either side, and (iii) the root number $\epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ is -1. We then define a pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ to be *generic* if it is not exceptional.

The purpose of this work is to determine some systematic nonvanishing of the central values $L(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ in the spirit of Mazur, as well as in that of the works of Greenberg [8], Rohrlich [17], [16], Vatsal [23], and Cornut [4]. Such nonvanishing is to be expected if one believes in the refined conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, or even just the implications of the associated two-variable main conjecture of Iwasawa theory (cf. for instance the discussions in [1, §4] or [22]). To describe what is expected here, let us fix a Hecke character $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}$ as in (1) above, with ρ a primitive ring class character of conductor c say, and χ a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor q say. Given an integer $n \geq 1$, let us write $a_f(n)$ to denote the weighted coefficient $n^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_f(n)$. Let us then write $F = \mathbf{Q}(a_f(n))_{n \geq 1}$ to denote the extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ obtained by adjoining these weighted coefficients of f, with $F(\mathcal{W})$ the extension of F obtained by adjoining the values of $\mathcal{W}$. Given σ a complex embedding of $F(\mathcal{W})$ fixing F, let $\mathcal{W}^\sigma$ denote the character defined by the rule $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{a})^\sigma$, for $\mathfrak{a}$ an integral ideal of K. Let $\langle f, f \rangle$ denote the Petersson norm of f. The celebrated algebraicity theorem of Shimura [18] asserts that the values

$$\frac{L(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})}{8\pi^2 \langle f, f \rangle}$$

are algebraic numbers, and more precisely that they lie in the number field $F(\mathcal{W})$. Moreover, it shows that these values are Galois conjugate in the following sense: the value $L(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ vanishes if any only if the value $L(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}^\sigma)$ vanishes for each complex embedding σ of $F(\mathcal{W})$ fixing F. A similar notion of Galois conjugate values can be established in the exceptional setting via the theorems of Gross-Zagier [9] and Zhang [25]. That is, in the exceptional setting, the value $L'(1/2, f \times \rho)$ vanishes if any only if the value $L'(1/2, f \times \rho^\sigma)$ vanishes for each complex embedding σ of $F(\rho)$ over F (cf. also the analogous discussion in [16, p. 385]). We are therefore led, for either choice of $k = 0$ or 1, to define the associated k-th Galois average $\delta^{(k)}_{[\mathcal{W}]}$ by the natural weighted sum

$$\delta^{(k)}_{[\mathcal{W}]} = [F(\mathcal{W}) : F]^{-1} \sum_{\sigma} L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}^\sigma).$$

Here, the sum runs over all distinct complex embeddings of $F(\mathcal{W})$ fixing F, and the notation $L^{(0)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ is taken to mean the central value $L(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$. Equivalently, we have the following description of these averages. Given a Hecke character $\mathcal{W}$ as above, let us write $\mathcal{W}_0$ to denote the tamely ramified part of $\mathcal{W}$. More precisely, let us write the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(R_\infty/K)$ as the product $G_0 \times G$, where G_0 is the (finite) torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(R_\infty/K)$, and $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^2$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathrm{Gal}(R_\infty/K)$ by G_0. Writing L to denote the fixed field of G, which is a tamely ramified extension of K, we can identify G_0 with the Galois group

$\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. The tamely ramified character $\mathcal{W}_0$ is then the corresponding character of this finite Galois group $G_0 = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Let $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ denote the set of characters $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}$, where ρ is a primitive ring class character of conductor c, χ is a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor q, and $\mathcal{W}_0$ is the tamely ramified part of $\mathcal{W}$. We then have the identification of sets $\{\mathcal{W}^\sigma\}_\sigma = P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$. Let us write $h^*_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ to denote the cardinality of this set $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$. Given a character $\mathcal{W} \in P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$, we can then write the associated k-th Galois average $\delta^{(k)}_{[\mathcal{W}]}$ as the weighted sum

$$\delta^{(k)}_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0} = (h^*_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0})^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{W} \in P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}} L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}). \tag{4}$$

Equipped with these averages, we can now make the following conjecture in the spirit of Mazur, Greenberg [8] and Rohrlich [17], [16], of which the theorems of Rohrlich [17], Vatsal [23] and Cornut [4] are special cases.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi\circ\mathbf{N}$ be a finite order Hecke character of K, unramified outside of p and infinity, belonging to some set of primitive characters $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$. Let $k = 0$ if the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is generic, or else $k = 1$ if the pair is exceptional. If the conductor of $\mathcal{W}$ is sufficiently large, then the k-th Galois average $\delta^{(k)}_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ does not vanish. In particular, for all but finitely many Hecke characters $\mathcal{W}$ of K unramified outside of p and infinity, the value $L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ does not vanish.*

The astute reader will notice our departure from the results shown in Rohrlich [16], [17] and Vatsal [23] about *limits* of Galois averages converging to nonzero values. Indeed, as the discussion below indicates, the limits of these averages in the generic setting could become very small with the conductor of $\mathcal{W}$. It is therefore more convenient to phrase the problem in terms of individual averages rather than limits. At any rate, part of what makes this conjecture difficult is the fact that the underlying sets $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ do not form full orthogonal sets of characters[1]. Hence, the exact formulae for the associated Galois averages $\delta^{(k)}_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ are complicated, and not well suited to analytic techniques. We therefore propose, as a starting point, to study the associated full orthogonal sets to which these primitive sets belong, giving estimates for the associated *harmonic* averages. Such averages can be evaluated directly using orthogonality of characters on finite abelian groups, and then estimated using standard analytic techniques. To be more precise, let us fix a character $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}$ as in (1) above in some primitive set $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$. Let us then write $X_{c,q}$ to denote the set of all Hecke characters of the form $\mathcal{W}' = \rho'\chi' \circ \mathbf{N}$, where ρ' is a ring class character of some conductor c' dividing c, and χ' is a Dirichlet character of some conductor q' dividing q. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, we then define the *k-th harmonic average* $H^{(k)}_{c,q}$ to be the natural weighted sum

$$H^{(k)}_{c,q} = h^{-1}_{c,q} \sum_{\mathcal{W} \in X_{c,q}} L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}), \tag{5}$$

where $h_{c,q}$ denotes the cardinality of the full orthogonal set $X_{c,q}$. Using that $X_{c,q}$ is a full orthogonal set, we can derive an exact formula for the k-th harmonic average $H^{(k)}_{c,q}$ (see Proposition 3.1 below). Using such an exact formula, in particular the counting functions coming from the ring class theta series in the Dirichlet series expansion of $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$, we can then establish the following estimates for these averages. To state what we obtain, we must first fix some more notation. Recall that the level N of f is assumed to be squarefree. Given a complex number s with $\Re(s) > 1$, let us then write

---

[1] It is also difficult in this generality as the toric integral formulae of Waldpurger [24], Gross [8], Zhang [25] and Gross-Zagier [9] are not available in the non self dual setting, these formulae being the point of departure in all of the work by Cornut and Vatsal on this topic.

$$L(s, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f) = \zeta^{(N)}(2s) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n^s} \tag{6}$$

to denote the symmetric square L-function of f, which has a well known analytic continuation to $\mathbf{C}$. We refer the reader to the paper [2] for instance for some more background. Given an integer $M \geq 1$, we let $L^{(M)}(s, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f)$ denote the L-function $L(s, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f)$ with the Euler factors at primes dividing M removed. Similarly, we let $\zeta^{(M)}(s)$ denote the standard zeta function $\zeta(s)$ with Euler factors at primes dividing M removed. We also write $\gamma = \lim_{x \to \infty} \left(\sum_{x \leq n} \frac{1}{n} - \log x \right)$ to denote the usual Euler-Mascheroni constant. In what follows, we shall always write $\Delta = |D| \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{W}})^2 = |D|(cq)^2$ to denote the level of the theta series $\Theta(\mathcal{W})$ associated to $\mathcal{W}$, taking $|D|$ to be fixed, and letting the conductor term $(cq)^2$ vary.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}$ be a finite order Hecke character of K, unramified outside of p and infinity, belonging to some set of primitive characters $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$. We have the following estimates for the k-th harmonic averages $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$, with $\eta > 0$ some absolute constant, and any choice of $\epsilon > 0$ in each case. Recall that we write Δ to denote the level of the theta series $\Theta(\mathcal{W})$ associated to $\mathcal{W}$.*

(i) *(Lemma 3.3 (i), Proposition 3.4) If the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is generic with $q = 1$, then*

$$H_{c,1}^{(0)} = 2L^{(N)}(1, \omega) \frac{L^{(c)}(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f)}{\zeta^{(cN)}(2)} + O_{f,\epsilon}\left(\Delta^{-\eta+\epsilon}\right).$$

(ii) *(Lemma 3.3 (ii), Proposition 3.4) If the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is exceptional, then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{c,1}^{(1)} = 4L^{(N)}(1, \omega) \frac{L^{(c)}(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f)}{\zeta^{(cN)}(2)} \Bigg[&\frac{1}{2} \log(N\Delta) + \frac{L'^{(N)}}{L^{(N)}}(1, \omega) \\ &+ \frac{L'^{(c)}}{L^{(c)}}(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f) - \frac{\zeta'^{(cN)}}{\zeta^{(cN)}}(2) - \gamma - \log 2\pi + O_{f,\epsilon}(\Delta^{-\eta+\epsilon}) \Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *(Proposition 3.5) If the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is generic with q sufficiently large, then*

$$H_{c,q}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} L^{(N)}(1, \omega\chi^2) + O_{f,\epsilon}(\Delta^{-\eta+\epsilon}),$$

where the sum runs over all Dirichlet characters χ modulo q.

It is easy to see from this result that the harmonic averages $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ do not vanish for cq sufficiently large. In the generic self dual setting of Theorem 1.2 (i), this is easy to see from the well known fact that the values $L(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f)$ do not vanish (using the obvious bound $L^{(c)}(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f) \gg_p L(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f)$, i.e. as we always take $c = p^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$). In fact, by the technique of Goldfeld-Hoffstein-Lieman [7] (as explained for instance in [2, Lemma 4.2]), we even have lower bounds of the form $L^{(c)}(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f) \gg_p L(1, \operatorname{Sym}^2 f) \gg (\log(N+1))^{-1}$. In the exceptional self dual setting of Theorem 1.2 (ii), the nonvanishing is also easy to deduce. For instance, since ω is an odd character, we have by the result of Colmez [3, Proposition 5] that

$\frac{1}{2}\log(N\Delta) + \frac{L'}{L}(1,\omega) \gg \frac{1}{2}\log(N\Delta)$, which in particular is $\gg \log c + O_{f,D}(1)$. In fact, we can even use this bound to deduce that the harmonic average $H_{c,1}^{(1)}$ in the exceptional case diverges with the conductor (cf. [19, § 1.8] or [16, §1, (35)]), though we omit the details here. Anyhow, in the exceptional setting with c sufficiently large, we can deduce from these lower bounds that the harmonic average $H_{c,1}^{(1)}$ does not vanish. In the generic setting of Theorem 1.2 (iii) with q sufficiently large, we can also deduce that the harmonic average $H_{c,q}^{(0)}$ does not vanish by a standard argument. That is, for any choice of real number $X \geq 1$, we can apply partial summation to each of the Dirichlet series $L(s,\omega\chi^2)$, taking the Polya-Vinogradov inequality in the error sum, to obtain the standard estimate

$$L(s,\omega\chi^2) = \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\omega\chi^2(m)}{m^s} + O_D\left(\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}\log q}{X^{\Re(s)}}\right).$$

Taking $X = q^{\frac{1}{2}}\log q$, it is then easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} L(1,\omega\chi^2) = 1 + O_D\left(q^{-1}\right).$$

The estimate of Theorem 1.2 (iii) then allows us to deduce that for q sufficiently large, the harmonic average $H_{c,q}^{(0)}$ does not vanish. Thus putting these observations together, we obtain from Theorem 1.2 the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}$ be a finite order Hecke character of K, unramified outside of p and infinity, belonging to some set of primitive characters $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$. Let $k = 0$ if the pair $(f,\mathcal{W})$ is generic, or else $k = 1$ if the pair is exceptional. If the conductor of $\mathcal{W}$ is sufficiently large, then the associated k-th harmonic average $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ does not vanish.*

Now, we can use this result on harmonic averages to establish the following partial result towards Conjecture 1.1, using the easy-to-establish relationship

$$H_{c,q}^{(k)} = h_{c,q}^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{W}_0} \sum_{\substack{c' \mid c \\ q' \mid q}} h^*_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0} \delta^{(k)}_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0}, \tag{7}$$

which we show in Lemma 4.1 below. Here, the first sum in (7) runs over all tamely ramified characters $\mathcal{W}_0$ of the finite Galois group $G_0 = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, and the second (double) sum runs over divisors c' of c and q' of q. The reader should note that we have of course taken into account the possibility that a given set of primitive characters $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ might be empty.

Theorem 1.4 (Corollary 4.4). *Let $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}$ be a finite order Hecke character of K, unramified outside of p and infinity, belonging to some set of primitive characters $P_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$. Let $k = 0$ if the pair $(f,\mathcal{W})$ is generic, or else $k = 1$ if the pair is exceptional. If the conductor of $\mathcal{W}$ is a sufficiently large power of p, then the sum over tamely ramified characters $\mathcal{W}_0$ of Galois averages $\sum_{\mathcal{W}_0} \delta^{(k)}_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ does not vanish. In particular, if cq is a sufficiently large power of p, then there exists a tamely ramified character $\mathcal{W}_0$ of G_0 such that the k-th Galois average $\delta^{(k)}_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}$ does not vanish.*

The general setting. The results stated above carry over to the setting of higher, even weight cusp forms, that is we can take f to be a cuspidal Hecke newform of weight $2k \in \mathbf{Z}$, squarefree level N and trivial Nebentypus. We refer the reader to the papers [19] and [20] for more details on the setup. We have restricted to the setting of $k = 1$ for simplicity of exposition. The results stated above also carry over with minor changes to the setting of real quadratic fields. Of course, as Leopoldt's conjecture is known for real quadratic fields, there would only be a finite number of ring class characters to sum over. More precisely, suppose we let K be a real quadratic field, writing R_∞ as before the denote the maximal, abelian unramified outside of p extension of K. We then know that $\mathrm{Gal}(R_\infty/K) = G_0 \times G$, where G_0 is the (finite) torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(R_\infty/K)$, and G is topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_p$. It is therefore clear that the extension R_∞ of K is just a finite extension of the cyclotomic extension $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$ of K. However, it is not clear whether or not a full analogue of Shimura's algebraicity theorem [18] should hold in this setting, the underlying theta series being Maass forms, and therefore whether or not the notion of a Galois average would make any sense here. This would be an interesting question to pursue in a future work.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to many people, but to Philippe Michel first and foremost, as well as to Farrell Brumley, Gergely Harcos and Djordje Milicevic, for extremely helpful discussions in 2011. I am also grateful to Christophe Cornut and Nike Vatsal for their constant encouragement, as well as to John Coates and Barry Mazur for their interest in this problem. Finally, I would like to thank Paul Nelson and Farrell Brumley for a careful reading of the manuscript, as well as for some very helpful suggestions for improvement of the writing.

2. Central value formulae

We use a variant of the standard approximate functional equation method to derive a general expression for the values $L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$.

Approximate functional equation. Fix an integer $k \geq 0$. We start with the following variation of approximate functional equation method, leading to an expression for the values $L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$. Let us write

$$L(s, f \times \mathcal{W}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \tag{8}$$

for the Dirichlet series expansion of $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$. Fix an integer $m \geq 1$. Fix once and for all a meromorphic function G_m on $\mathbf{C}$ such that

- $G_m(s)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C}$ except at zero, where $G_m(s) \sim \frac{1}{s^m}$ as $s \rightarrow 0$,
- $G_m(s)$ is of moderate (polynomial) growth on vertical lines, and
- $G_m(-s) = (-1)^m G_m(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Note that we can simply take $G_m(s) = \frac{1}{s^m}$ for such a function. In fact, let us assume for simplicity that $G_m(s) = \frac{1}{s^m}$. Let $V_m \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ be the cutoff function defined by

$$V_m(y) = \int_{\Re(s)=2} \widehat{V}_m(s) y^{-s} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \tag{9}$$

for $y \in (0, \infty)$, where

$$\widehat{V}_m(s) = \pi L_\infty(s + 1/2) G_m(s) \tag{10}$$

for $s \in \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$. Here, we write $L_\infty(s) = \Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + 1/2)\Gamma_{\mathbf{R}}(s + 3/2)$, so in particular $L_\infty(1/2) = \pi^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then, the value $\frac{1}{k!} \cdot L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ is given by the expression*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N\Delta}\right) + (-1)^k \epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\overline{a}_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N\Delta}\right). \tag{11}$$

Proof. The proof follows from a modification of the standard argument given for instance in [12, §5.2] (cf. [19, §7.2]). That is, let $m = k+1$, and consider the meromorphic function $G(s) = G_m(s) = s^{-m}$. By Cauchy's theorem,

$$\int_{\Re(s)=2} \Lambda(s+1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) G(s) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} = \int_{\Re(s)=-2} \Lambda(s+1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) G(s) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} + R, \tag{12}$$

where

$$R = \mathrm{Res}_{s=0} \left(\Lambda(s+1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) G(s) \right).$$

Note that by Stirling's asymptotic formula, the completed L-function $\Lambda(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ decays rapidly as the imaginary part of a fixed $s \in \mathbf{C}$ tends to $+\infty$. Hence, the integral on the left hand side of (12) exists, and the moving of the line of integration to $\Re(s) = -2$ is justified. Now, the integral on the right hand side of (12) is equal to

$$\int_{\Re(s)=2} \Lambda(-s+1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) G(-s) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

Applying the functional equation (3) at $-s+1/2$ with the fact that $G(-s) = (-1)^m G(s)$, we see that the integral is equal to

$$(-1)^m \int_{\Re(s)=2} \epsilon(-s+1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) \Lambda(-s+1/2, f \times \overline{\mathcal{W}}) G(-s) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

Hence, expanding the absolutely convergent Dirichlet series, we have by (12) that

$$R = (N\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\infty}(1/2) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_m\left(\frac{n}{N\Delta}\right) - (-1)^m \epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\overline{a}_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_m\left(\frac{n}{N\Delta}\right) \right).$$

Dividing each side by $(N\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\infty}(1/2)$, the result follows from the definition of R:

$$\frac{R}{(N\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\infty}(1/2)} = \frac{1}{m!} \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{d^{m-1}}{ds^{m-1}} \left(s^m G(s) (N\Delta)^s \frac{L_{\infty}(s+1/2)}{L_{\infty}(1/2)} L(s+1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) \right).$$

□

Cutoff function behaviour. The sum (11) is rapidly converging, as seen via the following estimate of the cutoff function $V_{k+1}(y)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. For each integer $j \geq 0$, we have that*

$$V_{k+1}^{(j)}(y) = \begin{cases} \left((-1)^k (\log y)^k\right)^{(j)} + O_j(y^{\frac{1}{2}-j}) & \text{when } 0 < y \leq 1 \\ O_{C,j}(y^{-C}) & \text{when } y \geq 1, \text{ for all } C > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We give a minor modification of the argument in [19, Lemma 7.1] (see also [12, Proposition 5.4]). To show the first estimate, move the line of integration in (9) to $\Re(s) = -\frac{1}{2}$. This contributes a pole at $s = 0$ of residue

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=0}\left(\widehat{V}_{k+1}(y)y^{-s}\right) = \lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{k!}\frac{d^k}{ds^k}\left(\pi L_\infty(s)y^{-s}\right).$$

Observe that $\frac{d^k}{ds^k}y^{-s} = (-1)^k y^{-s}(\log y)^k$. Using Stirling's asymptotic formula to estimate the remaining integral, we obtain the first estimate. Similarly, when $y \geq 1$, move the line of integration to $\Re(s) = C$ to obtain the second estimate. □

3. HARMONIC AVERAGE ESTIMATES

We now study the harmonic averages introduced in (5) above.

Harmonic average formulae. Fix a Hecke character $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi\circ\mathbf{N}$ as in (1) above, where ρ is a primitive ring class character of conductor c, and χ is a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor q. Let $X_{c,q}$ denote the set of all Hecke characters of the form $\mathcal{W}' = \rho'\chi'\circ\mathbf{N}$, where ρ' is a ring class character of some conductor c' dividing c, and χ' is a Dirichlet character of some q' conductor dividing q. Recall that for an integer $k \geq 0$, we define the *k-th harmonic average* $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ to be the weighted sum

$$H_{c,q}^{(k)} = h_{c,q}^{-1}\sum_{\mathcal{W}\in X_{c,q}} L^{(k)}(1/2, f\times\mathcal{W}),$$

where $h_{c,q}$ denotes the cardinality of $X_{c,q}$. We can use the approximate functional equation expression (11) to obtain exact formulae for these averages. Recall that the root number $\epsilon(1/2, f\times\mathcal{W})$ is given by the complex number of modulus one defined by $-\omega\chi^2(N)$, as a classical computation of the functional equation (3) confirms (cf. for instance the computation given in [9, § IV.1], or the relevant discussion in [15]). Let us first consider the Dirichlet series expansion of $L(s, f\times\mathcal{W})$,

$$L(s, f\times\mathcal{W}) = \sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\(m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega\chi^2(m)}{m^{2s}}\sum_{\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathcal{O}_K}\frac{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{a})\lambda_f(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}^s}. \tag{13}$$

Here, the second sum runs over nonzero integral ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Now, ρ is a ring class character of $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_c)$ for some integral conductor c. Given an integer $n \geq 1$ and a class $A \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_c)$, let us write $r_A(n)$ to denote the number of ideals in A of norm n. Expanding out the second sum in (13), we then have the expression

$$L(s, f\times\mathcal{W}) = \sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\(m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega\chi^2(m)}{m^{2s}}\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\sum_{A}\rho(A)r_A(n)\right)\frac{\lambda_f(n)\chi(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{14}$$

where the third sum runs over all classes $A \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_c)$. Given an integer $n \geq 1$, let us then write

$$r_c(n) = \sum_{A\in\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_c)} r_A(n) \tag{15}$$

to denote the number of ideals of norm n in the order $\mathcal{O}_c = \mathbf{Z} + c\mathcal{O}_K$. Note that this number can be parametrized as

$$2r_c(n) = |\{(a,b)\in\mathbf{Z}^2 :\ a^2 - b^2D = 4n, a\equiv 1 \bmod c, b\equiv 0 \bmod c\}|, \tag{16}$$

at least if $|D| \geq 7$. Hence, if $\mathcal{W}$ is unramified, in which case $c = 1$, this is just the usual ideal class counting function (cf. [19, §7]). On the other hand, suppose that $\mathcal{W}$ is ramified, hence that $cq > 1$. Let us return to the Dirichlet series expansion for $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$ given in (13) above. Observe that in this setting, we only sum over ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ that are coprime to the conductor of $\mathcal{W}$, since all other contributions vanish. In particular, we have that $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ unless $(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}, cq) = 1$. Thus, expanding out the second sum, we obtain the expression

$$L(s, f \times \mathcal{W}) = \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega\chi^2(m)}{m^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n,cq)=1}} \left(\sum_{A \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_c)} \rho(A) r_A(n) \right) \frac{\chi(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^s}, \tag{17}$$

where the second sum runs over integers $n \geq 1$ that are prime to cq. Now, it is a classical fact that $r_c(n) = r_1(n)$ for all integers $n \geq 1$ prime to the conductor c of $\mathcal{O}_c$ ([6, Proposition 7.20]), equivalently that $r_c(n) = r_1(n)$ for all integers $n \geq 1$ prime to c. Hence, we are always in the setting where the standard counting function $r_1(n)$ appears in the Dirichlet series expansion of $L(s, f \times \mathcal{W})$.

We now use these observations to derive a formula for the k-th harmonic average $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$. Recall that we write $\Delta = |D|c^2q^2$ to denote the level of the theta series $\Theta(\mathcal{W})$ associated to $\mathcal{W}$. Let us suppose now that $c = p^\alpha$ for some integer $\alpha \geq 0$, and that $q = p^\beta$ for some integer $\beta \geq 0$. Let us also for simplicity write $P_{\alpha,\beta}$ to denote the primitive set of characters P_{p^α, p^β}. We can then express the sum in the definition of the k-th harmonic average (5) as

$$\sum_{\mathcal{W} \in X_{c,q}} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta}} \sum_{P_{x,y}},$$

where each $P_{x,y}$ denotes the set of primitive characters P_{p^x, p^y}. We have by line (11) the expression $L^{(k)}(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) = \sum_1 + \sum_2$, where

$$\sum_1 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N\Delta}\right)$$

$$\sum_2 = (-1)^k \epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\overline{a}_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N\Delta}\right).$$

We also have that $(-1)^k \epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W}) = (-1)^{k+1}\omega\chi^2(N)$ by the characterization of the root number $\epsilon(1/2, f \times \mathcal{W})$ given above. Let us first consider the sum

$$\sum_{\mathcal{W} \in X_{c,q}} \sum_1 = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta}} \sum_{P_{x,y}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}}\right),$$

which after interchange of summation is given by the expression

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta}} \sum_{P_{x,y}} a_n V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}}\right). \tag{18}$$

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Let us write $g_{x,y} = g_{x,y,n}$ denote the complex number

$$g_{x,y} = g_{x,y,n} = \sum_{P_{x,y}} a_n,$$

with associated sum

$$G(\alpha,\beta)=G_n(\alpha,\beta)=\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha\\0\leq y\leq\beta}} g_{x,y,n},$$

and $W(x,y)=W_n(x,y)$ the continuously differentiable function on $[0,\alpha]\times[0,\beta]$ defined by

$$W(x,y)=W_n(x,y)=V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}}\right).$$

Applying partial summation in two variables to the inner sum of (18) gives us

$$\sum_{n\geq1}\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(G_n(\alpha,\beta)W_n(\alpha,\beta)-\int_0^\alpha\int_0^\beta G_n(t,u)\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{du}W_n(t,u)dtdu\right), \tag{19}$$

which after unwinding definitions becomes

$$\sum_{n\geq1}\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha\\0\leq y\leq\beta}}\sum_{P_{x,y}}a_nV_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right)-\int_0^\alpha\int_0^\beta G_n(t,u)\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{du}W_n(t,u)dudt.$$

Now, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\alpha\int_0^\beta G_n(t,u)\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{du}W_n(t,u)dudt\\&\quad=\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha-1\\0\leq y\leq\beta-1}}G_n(x,y)\left[W_n(x+1,y+1)-W_n(x,y)\right]\\&\quad=\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha-1\\0\leq y\leq\beta-1}}G_n(x,y)\int_{\Re(s)=2}\widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}}\right)^{-s}\left(\frac{1}{p^{4s}}-1\right)\frac{ds}{2\pi i}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, writing $\widetilde{V}_{k+1}$ to denote the function defined by

$$\widetilde{V}_{k+1}(y)=\int_{\Re(s)=2}\widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)y^{-s}\left(\frac{1}{p^{4s}}-1\right)\frac{ds}{2\pi i}, \tag{20}$$

we see that the first sum $\sum_{\mathcal{W}\in X_{c,q}}\sum_1$ is given by the expression

(21)

$$\sum_{n\geq1}\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha\\0\leq y\leq\beta}}\sum_{P_{x,y}}a_nV_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right)-\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha-1\\0\leq y\leq\beta-1}}G_n(x,y)\widetilde{V}_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}}\right).$$

Similarly, putting $\widetilde{a}_n=(-1)^{k+1}\omega\chi^2(N)\overline{a}_n$ for each integer $n\geq1$, let us write $\widetilde{g}_{x,y}=\widetilde{g}_{x,y,n}$ to denote the complex number defined by

$$\widetilde{g}_{x,y}=\widetilde{g}_{x,y,n}=\sum_{P_{x,y}}\widetilde{a}_{x,y},$$

with associated sum

$$\widetilde{G}(\alpha_0,\beta_0)=\widetilde{G}_n(\alpha_0,\beta_0)=\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha_0\\0\leq y\leq\beta_0}}\widetilde{g}_{x,y,n}.$$

We then see that the second sum $\sum_{\mathcal{W}\in X_{c,q}}\sum_2$ is given by the expression

(22)
$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha\\ 0\leq y\leq\beta}}\sum_{P_{x,y}}\widetilde{a}_n V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right)-\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha-1\\ 0\leq y\leq\beta-1}}\widetilde{G}_n(x,y)\widetilde{V}_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}}\right).$$

Now, using orthogonality of characters with the Dirichlet series expansion (17) in (21) and (22), we obtain the following formula for the k-th harmonic average $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$.

Definition Given integers $c, q\geq 1$, with $\Delta = |D|c^2q^2$ and N^{-2} denoting the inverse class of N^2 modulo q, let us write

$$D_{k+1}(c,q)=\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\ (m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,cq)=1\\ m^2n\equiv 1(q)}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{D}_{k+1}(c,q)=\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\ (m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,cq)=1\\ m^2n\equiv N^{-2}(q)}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right).$$

Similarly, writing $\widetilde{V}_{k+1}$ to denote the function defined in (20) above, let us define

$$\mathfrak{D}_{k+1}(c,q)=\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\ (m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,cq)=1\\ m^2n\equiv 1(q)}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\widetilde{V}_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1}(c,q)=\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\ (m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,cq)=1\\ m^2n\equiv N^{-2}(q)}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\widetilde{V}_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right).$$

Proposition 3.1. *Keep all of the notations and hypotheses described above, with $c = p^{\alpha}$ and $q = p^{\beta}$. We have the following formula for the k-th harmonic average,*

$$H_{c,q}^{(k)} = D_{k+1}(c,q)+(-1)^{k+1}\omega(N)\widetilde{D}_{k+1}(c,q)+E_{k+1}(c,q), \tag{23}$$

where

(24)
$$E_{k+1}(c,q)=h_{c,q}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha-1\\ 0\leq y\leq\beta-1}}h_{p^x,p^y}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{k+1}(p^x,p^y)+(-1)^{k+1}\omega(N)\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1}(p^x,p^y)\right).$$

Proof. Using the expansion (17), along with the fact that each $\sum_{x,y}\sum_{P_{x,y}}$ is a full orthogonal sum, we find that the first term

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\sum_{\substack{0\leq x\leq\alpha\\ 0\leq y\leq\beta}}\sum_{P_{x,y}}a_n V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right)$$

in (21) is given by the expression

$$h_{p^{\alpha},p^{\beta}}\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\ (m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,p^{\alpha+\beta})=1\\ m^2n\equiv 1 \bmod p^{\beta}}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right).$$

More precisely, we can first apply χ-orthogonality to the sum $\sum_1$ to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi \in H_{1,p^\beta}} \sum_1 &= \sum_{\chi} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}, p^{\alpha+\beta})=1}} \frac{\rho(\mathfrak{a})\chi(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})\lambda_f(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right) \\ &= h_{1,p^\beta} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K, (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}, p^{\alpha+\beta})=1 \\ \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a} \equiv 1 (p^\beta)}} \frac{\rho(\mathfrak{a})\lambda_f(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which in the integral expansion (17) is given by

(25)

$$h_{1,p^\beta} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1, (n, p^{\alpha+\beta})=1 \\ m^n n \equiv 1 (p^\beta)}} \left(\sum_A \rho(A) r_A(n)\right) \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2 n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right).$$

Taking the sum over all $\rho \in H_{p^\alpha,1}$ of this expression (25), using ρ-orthogonality in the A-sum, we obtain the stated formula. We may then apply the same argument to each term $G_n(x,y)$ in the error sum

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha-1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta-1}} G_n(x,y) \widetilde{V}_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}}\right)$$

of (21) to deduce that the first sum $\sum_{\mathcal{W} \in X_{c,q}} \sum_1$ is given by the expression

$$h_{p^\alpha,p^\beta} D_k(p^\alpha, p^\beta) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha-1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta-1}} h_{p^x,p^y} \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}(p^x, p^y). \tag{26}$$

Now, a similar argument shows that the first term

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta}} \sum_{P_{x,y}} \widetilde{a}_n V_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right)$$

in (22) is given by the expression

$$h_{p^\alpha,p^\beta} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1, (n, p^{\alpha+\beta})=1 \\ m^2 n \equiv N^{-2} \bmod p^\beta}} \frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2 n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right).$$

More precisely, we can first apply χ-orthogonality to the second sum $(-1)^{k+1}\omega\chi^2(N)\sum_2$, inserting the $\chi^2(N) = \chi(N^2) = \overline{\chi}(N^{-2})$ term into the inner sum, to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{k+1}\omega(N) \sum_{\chi \in H_{1,p^\beta}} \sum_2 &= \sum_{\chi} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}, p^{\alpha+\beta})=1}} \frac{\rho(\mathfrak{a})\overline{\chi}(N^{-2}\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})\lambda_f(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right) \\ &= h_{1,p^\beta} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K, (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}, p^{\alpha+\beta})=1 \\ \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a} \equiv N^{-2} (p^\beta)}} \frac{\rho(\mathfrak{a})\lambda_f(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which in the integral expansion (17) is given by

$$h_{1,p^{\beta}} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1, (n, p^{\alpha+\beta})=1 \\ m^n n \equiv N^{-2} (p^{\beta})}} \left(\sum_A \rho(A) r_A(n) \right) \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1} \left(\frac{m^2 n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha+\beta)}} \right). \tag{27}$$

We then apply ρ-orthogonality to the A-sum as before to obtain the stated formula. We then apply the same argument yet again to each term $\widetilde{G}_n(x,y)$ in the error sum

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha-1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta-1}} \widetilde{G}_n(x,y) \widetilde{V}_{k+1} \left(\frac{n}{N|D|p^{2(x+y)}} \right)$$

of (22) to deduce that the second sum $\sum_{\mathcal{W} \in X_{c,q}} \sum_2$ is given by the expression

$$(-1)^{k+1} \omega(N) \left(h_{p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}} \widetilde{D}_k(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq \alpha-1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq \beta-1}} h_{p^x, p^y} \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1}(p^x, p^y) \right). \tag{28}$$

Dividing out by $h_{c,q} = h_{p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}}$ in each of (26) and (28), we have now derived the stated formula. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Keep the setup and hypotheses of Proposition 3.1 above. We have that* $E_{k+1}(c,q) = O_{f,D}\left((cq)^{-\eta_0}\right)$ *for some absolute constant* $\eta_0 > 0$.

Proof. The main idea here is that we can bound the error term $E_{k+1}(c,q)$ using the same techniques as developed below to estimate the leading terms

$$D_{k+1}(c,q) + (-1)^{k+1} \omega(N) \widetilde{D}_{k+1}(c,q)$$

in our expression for $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$. That is, let us fix integers $0 \leq x \leq \alpha - 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq \beta - 1$. We claim that each weighting factor h_{p^x, p^y} is given by $h(\mathcal{O}_{p^x}) \varphi(p^y)$, where $h(\mathcal{O}_{p^x})$ is given by the classical formula

$$h(\mathcal{O}_{p^x}) = \frac{h(\mathcal{O}_K)}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : \mathcal{O}_{p^x}^{\times}]} \left(1 - \left(\frac{D}{p} \right) \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

Here, $\mathcal{O}_K$ denotes the ring of integers of K, and $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ the cardinality of its ideal class group. Since the unit index $[\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : \mathcal{O}_{p^x}^{\times}]$ is always equal to 1 by our hypotheses, we see that

$$\frac{h_{p^x, p^y}}{h_{p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}}} = \left(\frac{1}{p^{\alpha - x} p^{\beta - y}} \right),$$

which in particular tends to zero with both α and β. We claim it will therefore suffice to consider only the term of largest conductor in the error term $E_{k+1}(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta})$,

$$\mathfrak{D}_{k+1}(p^{\alpha-1}, p^{\beta-1}) + (-1)^{k+1} \omega(N) \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1}(p^{\alpha-1}, p^{\beta-1}).$$

Let us suppose first that that pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is self dual, with $\mathcal{W} = \rho$ is a ring class character (hence $\beta = 0$), in which case it remains to estimate the sum

$$2\mathfrak{D}_{k+1}(p^{\alpha-1}, 1) = 2 \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, p^{\alpha-1})=1}} \frac{r_1(n) \lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \widetilde{V}_{k+1} \left(\frac{m^2 n}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha-1)}} \right). \tag{29}$$

Now, we can estimate this sum (29) in the same way as we estimate the leading sum $2D_{k+1}(c,q)$ below to deduce the result. That is, we first consider the contribution from the $b=0$ terms in the counting function $r_1(n)$, which is given by the sum

$$2 \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{a \geq 1 \\ (a,p^{\alpha-1})=1}} \frac{\lambda_f(a^2)}{a} \widetilde{V}_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2a^2}{N|D|p^{2(\alpha-1)}} \right).$$

By definition of the function $\widetilde{V}_{k+1}$, this contribution is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Re(s)=2} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m^{2s+1}} \sum_{\substack{a \geq 1 \\ (a,p^{\alpha-1})=1}} \frac{\lambda_f(a^2)}{a^{2s+1}} \widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)(N|D|p^{2(\alpha-1)})^s \left(p^{-2s}-1\right) \frac{ds}{2\pi i},$$

and after identification with L-values, to the integral

(30)

$$\int_{\Re(s)=2} \frac{L^{(N)}(2s+1,\omega)}{\zeta^{(p^{\alpha-1}N)}(4s+2)} L^{(p^{\alpha-1})}(2s+1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f) \widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)(N|D|p^{2(\alpha-1)})^s \left(p^{-2s}-1\right) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

We refer the reader to Lemma 3.3 below for more details. The main idea is to move the line of integration in (30) to $\Re(s) = -1/8$, crossing a pole at $s=0$ of residue 0. Note that the residue must vanish here because of the appearance of the $(p^{-2s}-1)$ term in the function $\widetilde{V}_{k+1}$. We can then deduce from the argument for Lemma 3.3 that the remaining contribution is bounded. The contribution from the $b \neq 0$ terms in the counting function $r_1(n)$ can be bounded using the result of Proposition 3.4. Finally, if the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is generic, then we can also deduce the result from the argument given in Proposition 3.5 to estimate the sums $D_1(c,q) - \omega(N)\widetilde{D}_{k+1}(c,q)$. Again, we have used the fact that the appearance of the $(p^{-2s}-1)$ factor in the function $\widetilde{V}_1$ forces the residue at $s=0$ to vanish. □

The self dual case(s). We now explain in more detail how to estimate the k-th harmonic average $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ when the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is self dual, equivalently when $\mathcal{W}$ is a ring class character with trivial non-quadratic cyclotomic part. Since we are only concerned with the behaviour of the harmonic averages $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ for sufficiently large conductor, we can assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{W}$ is a ring class character. Thus, let us suppose that $\mathcal{W} = \rho$ is a ring class character of conductor c. We know by Proposition 3.1 above that the associated k-th harmonic averages $H_{c,1}^{(k)}$ are given by the formulae

$$H_{c,1}^{(k)} = \left(1 + (-1)^{k+1}\omega(N)\right) D_{k+1}(c,1) + E_{k+1}(c,1),$$

where we can assume that $E_{k+1}(c,1) = O_{f,D}(c^{-\eta_0})$ for some absolute constant $\eta_0 > 0$ by the result of Lemma 3.2. We therefore have that

$$H_{c,1}^{(1)} = 2D_2(c,1) + O_{f,D}(c^{-\eta_0})$$

if (f, ρ) is exceptional (with $k=1$) and

$$H_{c,1}^{(0)} = 2D_1(c,1) + O_{f,D}(c^{-\eta_0})$$

if (f, ρ) is generic (with $k=0$). In particular, it remains to estimate the sums $D_{k+1}(c,1)$ with the conductor c. To do this, we follow the approach of Templier [19, Theorem 2] and Templier-Tsimerman [20] to show that the leading terms in these sums $D_{k+1}(c,1)$ arise from the $b=0$ contributions in the counting function

$r_1(n)$ given in (16) above. The contributions from $b \neq 0$ terms are then shown to be negligible using the bounds of Templier [19, Theorem 1] and more generally Templier-Tsimerman [20].

Let us first describe the leading terms coming from the $b = 0$ contributions in the counting functions $r_1(n)$ appearing in the sums $2D_{k+1}(c, 1)$ above. Recall that since the level $N \geq 1$ of f is a squarefree integer, we can define the symmetric square L-function $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f)$ of f as in (6) above, at least when s is a complex number with $\Re(s) > 1$. Recall as well that for $M \geq 1$ an integer, we write $L^{(M)}(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f)$ to denote the L-function $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f)$ with the Euler factors at primes dividing M removed. Finally, recall also that we write $\Delta = |D|c^2$ to denote the level of the theta series $\Theta(\rho)$ associated to ρ.

Lemma 3.3. *We have the following estimates for the $b = 0$ contributions in $H_{c,1}^{(k)}$.*

(i) *If (f, ρ) is generic, then for some absolute constant $\eta_1 > 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$H_{c,1}^{(0)} = 2L^{(N)}(1, \omega) \frac{L^{(c)}(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f)}{\zeta^{(cN)}(2)} + O_{f,\epsilon}\left(\Delta^{-\eta_1 + \epsilon}\right).$$

(ii) *If (f, ρ) is exceptional, then for some absolute constant $\eta_2 > 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{c,1}^{(1)} = 4L^{(N)}(1, \omega) \frac{L^{(c)}(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f)}{\zeta^{(cN)}(2)} \Bigg[&\frac{1}{2} \log(N\Delta) + \frac{L'^{(N)}}{L^{(N)}}(1, \omega) \\ &+ \frac{L'^{(c)}}{L^{(c)}}(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f) - \frac{\zeta'^{(cN)}}{\zeta^{(cN)}}(2) - \gamma - \log 2\pi + O_{f,\epsilon}(\Delta^{-\eta_2 + \epsilon}) \Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the result of Lemma 3.2 above, it suffices to estimate the $b = 0$ contributions in the sums $2D_{k+1}(c, 1)$. In either case, this contribution is given by

$$2 \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{a \geq 1 \\ (a,c)=1}} \frac{\lambda_f(a^2)}{a} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2 a^2}{N\Delta}\right).$$

By definition of the cutoff function $V_{k+1}(y)$, this contribution is given by the integral

$$\int_{\Re(s)=2} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m^{2s+1}} \sum_{\substack{a \geq 1 \\ (a,c)=1}} \frac{\lambda_f(a^2)}{a^{2s+1}} \widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)(N\Delta)^s \frac{ds}{2\pi i},$$

equivalently by (6) to the integral

$$\int_{\Re(s)=2} \frac{L^{(N)}(2s+1, \omega)}{\zeta^{(cN)}(4s+2)} L^{(c)}(2s+1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 f) \widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)(N\Delta)^s \frac{ds}{2\pi i}. \tag{31}$$

Now, recall that we define

$$\widehat{V}_{k+1}(s) = \pi L_\infty(s + 1/2) G_{k+1}(s) = \frac{L_\infty(s + 1/2)}{L_\infty(1/2)} G_{k+1}(s),$$

where $G_{k+1}(s) \sim \frac{1}{s^{k+1}}$ as $s \to 0$ (in fact, we assume that $G_{k+1}(s) = \frac{1}{s^{k+1}}$). Moving the line of integration to $\Re(s) = -1/8$ in (31), we cross a pole at $s = 0$ of residue given above. We refer the reader to [19, Lemma 7.2] for some more details in the $k = 1$ case. The remaining integral in either case is bounded thanks to the rapid decay of $\widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)$ as $\Im(s) \to \pm\infty$, along with the Burgess subconvexity bound. □

We now estimate the contributions coming from the $b \neq 0$ contributions in the counting functions $r_1(n)$ appearing in the sums $2D_{k+1}(c,1)$ above. Thus, let us define for each integer $n \geq 1$ the related counting function

$$r_1^{\dagger}(n) = |\{(a,b) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{\times} : a^2 - b^2 D = 4n\}|. \tag{32}$$

We deduce the following result from those shown in Templier [19, Proposition 7.2] and Templier-Tsimerman [20, Theorem 2], these latter results each following from certain bounds for short, nonsplit-type sums of the Fourier coefficients $\lambda_f(n)$ that are also established in these works (see for instance [19, Theorem 1]).

Proposition 3.4. *We have the following estimates for $k = 0, 1$.*

(i) *If $m \geq 2\sqrt{N}$, then for any choice of $C, \epsilon > 0$, we have that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{r_1^{\dagger}(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2 n}{N\Delta}\right) \ll_{f,B,\epsilon} N^C \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} m^{-2C}.$$

(ii) *If $m \leq 2\sqrt{N}$, then for some absolute constant $\eta_3 > 0$ and any choice of $\epsilon > 0$, we have that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{r_1^{\dagger}(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2 n}{N\Delta}\right) \ll_{f,\epsilon} \Delta^{-\eta_3+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. See [19, Proposition 7.2] with [20, Theorem 2]. Let us write $4n = a^2 - b^2 D$ and $y = m^2 n/(N\Delta)$. For (i), observe that $r_1^{\dagger}(n) > 0$ only if $4n \geq |D|$, in which case $y \geq 1$ as soon as $m \geq 2\sqrt{N}$. The bound then follows from the second estimate of Lemma 2.2. For (ii), fix an integer m in the interval $1 \leq m \leq 2\sqrt{N}$. Observe that if $b \geq 2\sqrt{N}$, then $y \geq 1$ for all $m \geq 1$. We can then invoke the second estimate of Lemma 2.2 as before to deduce that the contribution is negligible. We can therefore assume that $b < 2\sqrt{N}$. Similarly, observe that if $a \geq \sqrt{|D|(4N-1)}$, then $y \geq 1$ for all $m \geq 1$, in which case the contribution is also negligible by the second estimate of Lemma 2.2. We can therefore assume that $a < \sqrt{|D|(4N-1)}$. For simplicity of notation, let us write $a \leq |D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}$, where $\eta = \eta(f, D)$ is chosen suitably. Note that there is no $a = 0$ contribution to consider. It therefore remains to bound the sum

$$\frac{m}{(N\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq |D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}} \lambda_f(a^2 - b^2 D) W_{k+1}\left(\frac{(a^2 - b^2 D)m^2}{N\Delta}\right), \tag{33}$$

where $W_{k+1}(x) = V_{k+1}(x)x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which is essentially the sum treated in Templier [19, Proposition 7.1]. Thus, let us introduce for $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$ the sum

$$S_x = \sum_{1 \leq a \leq x} \lambda_f(a^2 - b^2 D),$$

so that after integration by parts its suffices to bound the integral

$$\frac{m^3}{(N\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_1^{|D|^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}} S_x W_{k+1}'\left(\frac{(x^2 - b^2 D)m^2}{N\Delta}\right) \frac{xdx}{N\Delta}. \tag{34}$$

Taking $y = ((x^2 - b^2 D)m^2)/N\Delta$, we have that $y \geq 1/N$, and hence by Lemma 2.2 that $W_2'(y)$ is bounded by $O_f(1)$. We now use the bound of Templier [19, Theorem 1] or more generally Templier-Tsimerman [20, Theorem 2] to bound S_x. Note that this latter result applies here, because taking $X = N\Delta$ and $d = b^2|D|$, we have the required range $X \gg d^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $c \gg 0$. We obtain from this result that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$S_x \ll_{f,\epsilon} (N\Delta)^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} d^{\delta} \ll_{f,\epsilon} \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon+\delta}. \tag{35}$$

Here, $\delta \in [\frac{3}{16}, \frac{1}{4}]$ is a constant depending on known bounds towards the Selberg eigenvalue conjecture and the Lindelöf hypothesis, as explained in [20, § 1.3]. Thus, inserting this bound (35) into (34), we obtain that (33) is bounded above by $\ll_{f,\epsilon} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{1}{4}+\delta+\epsilon}\Delta^{-1}\Delta = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{4}+\delta+\epsilon}$. The result follows. $\square$

The non self dual case. We now explain how to estimate the k-th harmonic averages $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ when the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is generic with nontrivial nonquadratic cyclotomic part χ. Thus, let us suppose now that $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi \circ \mathbf{N}$ is a Hecke character as in (1) above, with ρ a ring class character of conductor c, and χ a Dirichlet character of conductor $q > 1$. The associated k-the harmonic average is then given by

$$H_{c,q}^{(k)} = D_{k+1}(c,q) + (-1)^{k+1}\omega(N)\widetilde{D}_{k+1}(c,q) + E_{k+1}(c,q),$$

where we can assume that $O_{f,D}((cq)^{-\eta_0})$ for some absolute constant $\eta_0 > 0$ by the result of Lemma 3.2 above. Thus, we have for $k = 0$ the formula

$$\begin{aligned} H_{c,q}^{(0)} = &\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{n\geq 1, (n,cq)=1 \\ m^2 n \equiv 1 (q)}} \frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_1\left(\frac{m^2 n}{N\Delta}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{m\geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(mN)}{m} \sum_{\substack{n\geq 1, (n,cq)=1 \\ m^2 n \equiv N^{-2} (q)}} \frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_1\left(\frac{m^2 n}{N\Delta}\right) + O_{f,D}((cq)^{-\eta_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, to estimate second sum $\widetilde{D}_1(c,q)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $m^2 n \leq N\Delta$, as otherwise we can use the rapid decay of $V_1(y)$ described in Lemma 2.2 to see that the contribution from these terms is negligible. It is then easy to see that for q sufficiently large (for instance $q \gg N|D|c^2$), the only solutions to the congruence $m^2 n \equiv N^{-2}(q)$ are those given by $m^2 n = M$, where M is the inverse of the class $N^2(q)$. On the other hand, $(N,p) = 1$ implies that $(N,q) = (N^2,q) = 1$, so the class $N^2(q)$ generates the group $(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$, and hence its inverse is $N^{2k}(q)$, where $k = \varphi(q) - 1$. We then see that the congruence condition $m^2 n \equiv N^{2k}(q)$ with the m-sum condition $(m,N) = 1$ implies that $m = 1$, and hence that $n = N^{2k}$. Thus, the contribution from the m-sum is trivial, and the remaining contribution from the $n = N^{2k}$ term is negligible thanks to the rapid decay of $V_1(y)$ shown in Lemma 2.2. It therefore remains to estimate the contribution from the first sum $D_1(c,q)$. By a similar argument, we see that for q sufficiently large (in the same sense), the n-sum in $D_1(c,q)$ is bounded thanks to the second estimate of Lemma 2.2 for the cutoff function $V_1(y)$. This allows us to deduce the following result.

Proposition 3.5. *If the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is generic with q sufficiently large (for instance $q \gg N|D|c^2$), then for some absolute constant $\eta_4 > 0$ and any choice of $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$H_{c,q}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} L^{(N)}(1, \omega\chi^2) + O_{f,\epsilon}(\Delta^{-\eta_4+\epsilon}),$$

where the sum runs over all Dirichlet characters χ modulo q.

Proof. The argument given above shows that for q sufficiently large, the harmonic average $H_{c,q}^{(0)}$ is given up to some bounded error term by the expression

$$\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1, (m,N)=1\\ m^2\equiv 1(q)}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} V_1\left(\frac{m^2}{N\Delta}\right) = \int_{\Re(s)=2} \sum_{\substack{m\geq 1, (m,N)=1\\ m^2\equiv 1(q)}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m^{2s+1}} \widehat{V}_1(s)(N\Delta)^s \frac{ds}{2\pi i},$$

equivalently by the sum

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \int_{\Re(s)=2} L^{(N)}(2s+1, \omega\chi^2)\widehat{V}_1(s)(N\Delta)^s \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

We now argue as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 (cf. [19, Lemma 7.2]), moving the line of integration to $\Re(s) = -\frac{1}{8}$, and crossing a pole at $s=0$ of residue

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} L^{(N)}(1, \omega\chi^2).$$

We claim as before that the remaining contribution is bounded thanks to the rapid decay of $\widehat{V}_1(s)$ as $\Im(s) \to \pm\infty$ along with the Burgess subconvexity bound, and in fact that we can take the error here to be $O_f(\Delta^{-\frac{1}{32}+\epsilon})$ (cf. [19, Lemma 7.2]). $\square$

4. Nonvanishing of sums of tamely ramified characters

We now prove Theorem 4.4. Recall that we define the k-th Galois average $\delta_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}^{(k)}$ as the weighted sum (4) above. We have the the following relationship between the k-th harmonic averages $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ defined in (5) above and Galois averages of the form $\delta_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0}^{(k)}$, where c' is a divisor of c and q' a divisor of q.

Lemma 4.1. *Given strictly positive integers c and q, we have the relation*

$$H_{c,q}^{(k)} = h_{c,q}^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{W}_0} \sum_{\substack{c'|c\\ q'|q}} h_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0}^{*} \delta_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0}^{(k)}. \tag{36}$$

Here, the first sum runs over tamely ramified characters $\mathcal{W}_0$ associated to $\mathcal{W}$, and we adopt the convention of taking $\delta_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0} = 0$ in the event that the associated character set $P_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0}$ is empty.

Proof. Recall that $X_{c,q}$ is defined to be the set of all Hecke characters $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi\circ\mathbf{N}$, with ρ a ring class character of some conductor c' dividing c, and χ a Dirichlet character of some conductor q' dividing q. We claim that

$$X_{c,q} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{W}_0} \bigcup_{\substack{c'|c\\ q'|q}} P_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0}$$

enumerates all such possibly existing characters, i.e. with the possibility that some of the sets $P_{c,'q';\mathcal{W}_0}$ might be empty. In any case, the result is then a formal consequence of the definitions of the averages $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ and $\delta_{c',q';\mathcal{W}_0}^{(k)}$. $\square$

Let us for simplicity write $\mathcal{H}_{c,q}^{(k)} = h_{c,q}H_{c,q}^{(k)}$. Let us also write $h(\mathcal{O}_c)$ to denote the class group of the order $\mathcal{O}_c = \mathbf{Z} + c\mathcal{O}_K$, which is given by the classical formula

$$h(\mathcal{O}_c) = \frac{h(\mathcal{O}_K)c}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : \mathcal{O}_c^{\times}]} \prod_{q|c} \left(1 - \left(\frac{D}{q}\right)\frac{1}{q}\right). \tag{37}$$

We claim that $h_{c,q} = h(\mathcal{O}_c)\varphi(q)$, in which case $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{c,q} = h(\mathcal{O}_c)\varphi(q)H^{(k)}_{c,q}$.

Corollary 4.2. *Given strictly positive integers c and q, we have the relation*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{W}_0} h^*_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}\delta^{(k)}_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0} = \sum_{\substack{c'|c\\ q'|q}} \mu\left(\frac{c}{c'}\right)\mu\left(\frac{q}{q'}\right)\mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{c',q'}. \tag{38}$$

Here, μ denotes the usual Möbius function on integers $n \geq 1$. In particular, when c and q are both powers of p, we have the relation

$$\sum_{\mathcal{W}_0} h^*_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}\delta^{(k)}_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0} = \mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{c,q} - \mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},q} - \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{c,\frac{q}{p}} - \mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},\frac{q}{p}}\right). \tag{39}$$

Here, we adopt the convention of taking $\mathcal{H}_{c,\frac{q}{p}} = 0$ in the event that $q = 1$, and similarly for the case of $c = 1$ (which we do not take into consideration below).

Proof. The first formula (38) follows from (36), after two applications of the Möbius inversion formula. The second formula (39) is then an easy consequence of (38), as only the terms $c' = c$, $c' = \frac{c}{p}$, $q' = q$, and $q' = \frac{q}{p}$ contribute to the sum. ☐

Proposition 4.3. *Fix a Hecke character $\mathcal{W} = \rho\chi\circ\mathbf{N}$, with ρ a primitive ring class character of conductor $c = p^{\alpha}$ for some integer $\alpha \geq 2$, and χ a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor $q = p^{\beta}$ for some integer $\beta \geq 2$. Suppose that the pair $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is either exceptional with $k = 1$, or else generic with $k = 0$. In either case, if the product of conductors cq is sufficiently large, then the difference*

$$\mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{c,q} - \mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},q} - \left(\mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{c,\frac{q}{p}} - \mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},\frac{q}{p}}\right) \tag{40}$$

does not vanish.

Proof. Let us first establish a convenient reformulation for the difference sum (40). Suppose that $c = p^{\alpha}$ for some integer $\alpha \geq 2$, and that $q = p^{\beta}$ for some integer $\beta \geq 2$. Thus by definition, (40) is given by the weighted difference of sums

$$\varphi(q)\left(h(\mathcal{O}_c)H^{(k)}_{c,q} - h(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{c}{p}})H^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},q}\right) - \varphi(q/p)\left(h(\mathcal{O}_c)H^{(k)}_{c,\frac{q}{p}} - h(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{c}{p}})H^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},\frac{q}{p}}\right).$$

Using the basic fact that $\varphi(p^m) = (p-1)p^{m-1}$ for any integer $m \geq 1$, along with the classical fact that $h(\mathcal{O}_{p^m}) = \vartheta p^{m-1}$ with $\vartheta = \vartheta(p^m)$ equal to a constant function (determined by the unit index $[\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : \mathcal{O}_c^{\times}]$ in classical formula (37)) for m sufficiently large, we can now see that for sufficiently large conductor, (40) is given by the weighted difference of sums

$$\vartheta(p-1)p^{\beta-1}p^{\alpha-2}\left(pH^{(k)}_{c,q} - H^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},q}\right) - \vartheta(p-1)p^{\beta-2}p^{\alpha-2}\left(pH^{(k)}_{c,\frac{q}{p}} - H^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},\frac{q}{p}}\right). \tag{41}$$

Fixing a choice of $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, we can then rewrite (41) as

$$\vartheta(p-1)p^{\alpha+\epsilon-2}\left(p^{\beta-1}\left(p^{1-\epsilon}H^{(k)}_{c,q} - p^{-\epsilon}H^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},q}\right) - p^{\beta-2}\left(p^{1-\epsilon}H^{(k)}_{c,\frac{q}{p}} - p^{-\epsilon}H^{(k)}_{\frac{c}{p},\frac{q}{p}}\right)\right). \tag{42}$$

Similarly, writing $L^{\epsilon}_{k+1}(c,q)$ to denote the difference $p^{1-\epsilon}H^{(k)}_{c,q} - p^{-\epsilon}H_{\frac{c}{p},q}$ and fixing a choice of $\gamma \in (0,1)$, we can rewrite (42) as

$$\vartheta(p-1)p^{\beta+\gamma-2}p^{\alpha+\epsilon-2}\left(p^{1-\gamma}L^{\epsilon}_{k+1}(c,q) - p^{-\gamma}L^{\epsilon}_{k+1}(c,\frac{q}{p})\right). \tag{43}$$

Note that by the result of Lemma 3.2 above, we know that the error terms $E_{k+1}(c,q)$ in our formulae for the harmonic averages $H_{c,q}^{(k)}$ behave in essentially the same way as those of the leading sums $D_{k+1}(c,q) + (-1)^{k+1}\omega(N)\widetilde{D}_{k+1}(c,q)$. This we claim reduces us to estimating only the terms that arise from these leading sums. Thus, let us first consider the self dual cases, with $q = 1$, in which case we have to estimate the difference

$$\vartheta(p-1)p^{\alpha+\epsilon-2}L_{k+1}^{\epsilon}(c,1) = \vartheta(p-1)p^{\alpha+\epsilon-2}\left(p^{1-\epsilon}H_{c,1}^{(k)} - p^{-\epsilon}H_{\frac{c}{p},1}\right).$$

Thus, it will suffice to estimate the difference $L_{k+1}^{\epsilon}(c,1)$. Following the result of Lemma 3.2 above, we then claim it will suffice to estimate the sum

$$2\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\(m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1\\(n,c)=1}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[p^{1-\epsilon}V_{k+1}\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right) - p^{-\epsilon}V_{k+1}\left(\frac{p^2m^2n}{N\Delta}\right)\right],$$

which has the integral expansion

$$2\int_{\Re(s)=2}\left(\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\(m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m^{2s+1}}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1\\(n,c)=1}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\widehat{V}_{k+1}(s)\left(p^{1-\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^{2s+\epsilon}}\right)(N\Delta)^s\frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

Since the value at $s = 0$ of the factor $\left(p^{1-\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^{2s+\epsilon}}\right)(N\Delta)^s$ does not vanish, we can apply the same analysis as given in Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 above to establish asymptotics for the difference $L_{k+1}^{\epsilon}(c,1)$, following the approach of Templier [19] and Templier-Tsimerman [20]. This method shows in particular that the difference $L_{k+1}^{\epsilon}(c,1)$ does not vanish for c sufficiently large, as required. Let us now consider the non self dual case, with $q > 1$ and $k = 0$, in which case we have to estimate the difference (43). Thus, it suffices to estimate the difference $p^{1-\gamma}L_1^{\epsilon}(c,q) - p^{-\gamma}L_1^{\epsilon}(c,\frac{q}{p})$, which is given by the expression

$$p^{1-\gamma}\left(p^{1-\epsilon}H_{c,q}^{(0)} - p^{-\epsilon}H_{\frac{c}{p},q}^{(0)}\right) - p^{\gamma}\left(p^{1-\epsilon}H_{c,\frac{q}{p}}^{(0)} - p^{-\epsilon}H_{\frac{c}{p},\frac{q}{p}}^{(0)}\right).$$

Recall that each $H_{c,q}^{(0)}$ is given by the sum $D_1(c,q) - \omega(N)\widetilde{D}_1(c,q) + O_{f,D}((cq)^{-\eta_0})$ for $\eta_0 > 0$ some absolute constant, where

$$\widetilde{D}_1(c,q) = \sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\(m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,cq)=1\\m^2n\equiv N^2(q)}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}V_1\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right).$$

We argue as in Proposition 3.5 above that for $q \gg 1$, this contribution is negligible. Thus for $q \gg 1$, it suffices to estimate the difference

$$p^{1-\gamma}\left(p^{1-\epsilon}D_1(c,q) - p^{-\epsilon}D_1(\frac{c}{p},q)\right) - p^{\gamma}\left(p^{1-\epsilon}D_1(c,\frac{q}{p}) - p^{-\epsilon}D_1(\frac{c}{p},\frac{q}{p})\right),$$

which is given up to a bounded error term by the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\(m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,cq)=1\\m^2n\equiv 1(q)}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[p^{1-\gamma}\widetilde{V}_1\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right) - p^{-\gamma}\widetilde{V}_1\left(\frac{p^2m^2n}{N\Delta}\right)\right]$$
$$- \sum_{\substack{m\geq 1\\(m,N)=1}}\frac{\omega(m)}{m}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 1,(n,cq)=1\\m^2n\equiv 1^*(q/p)}}\frac{r_1(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[p^{1-\gamma}\widetilde{V}_1\left(\frac{m^2n}{N\Delta}\right) - p^{-\gamma}\widetilde{V}_1\left(\frac{p^2m^2n}{N\Delta}\right)\right].$$

Here, for $y \in (0, \infty)$, we have written

$$\widetilde{V}_1(y) = p^{1-\epsilon} V_1(y) - p^{-\epsilon} V_1(p^2 y),$$

which is not to be confused with the other auxiliary function defined in (20) above. We have also written $k \equiv 1^*(q/p)$ for $k \geq 1$ an integer to denote the condition that k be congruent to $1 \mod (q/p)$, but not congruent to $1 \bmod q$. Hence, the second sum above is a finite sum, with contributions from $k = 1 + q/p$ terms only. We argue that for $q \gg 1$ this finite contribution is negligible, again as a consequence of the rapid decay of the cutoff function $V_1(y)$ (and hence that of the function defined by $\widetilde{V}_1(y)$). Thus, it remains to estimate the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1, (n,cq)=1 \\ m^2 n \equiv 1 (q)}} \frac{r_1(n) \lambda_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[p^{1-\gamma} \widetilde{V}_1 \left(\frac{m^2 n}{N\Delta} \right) - p^{-\gamma} \widetilde{V}_1 \left(\frac{p^2 m^2 n}{N\Delta} \right) \right], \tag{44}$$

which is given by the integral expansion

$$\int_{\Re(s)=2} \left(\sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ (m,N)=1}} \frac{\omega(m)}{m^{2s+1}} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1, (n,cq)=1 \\ m^2 n \equiv 1 (q)}} \frac{r_1(n) \lambda_f(n)}{n^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \widehat{V}_1(s) J(s) (N\Delta)^s \frac{ds}{2\pi i}, \tag{45}$$

where

$$J(s) = \left[p^{1-\gamma} \left(p^{1-\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^{2s+\epsilon}} \right) - p^{-\gamma} \left(p^{1-\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^{4s+\epsilon}} \right) \right].$$

Now, we argue that for $q \gg 1$, the n-sum in (44) is trivial, again as a consequence of the rapid decay of the cutoff function $V_1(y)$ (and hence the function defined by $\widetilde{V}_1(y)$). Since $J(0) \neq 0$, we can then move the line of integration in (45) to $\Re(s) = -\frac{1}{8}$ as before, crossing a pole at $s = 0$. This allows us to deduce via a basic residue computation that the leading term of (44) is given by the expression

$$\frac{J(0)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} L^{(N)}(1, \omega\chi), \tag{46}$$

where the sum runs over all Dirichlet characters $\chi \bmod q$. By classical lower bounds on the values $L^{(N)}(1, \omega\chi)$, we deduce that this leading term does not vanish. The error terms are all bounded by the arguments given above, using the rapid decay of the cutoff function $V_1(y)$. Hence, we deduce that the difference (43) does not vanish for $cq \gg 1$, as required. ☐

We therefore obtain the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that $(f, \mathcal{W})$ is either exceptional with $k = 1$, or else generic with $k = 0$. In either case, if the conductor of $\mathcal{W}$ is equal to a sufficiently large power of p, then the sum of Galois averages $\sum_{\mathcal{W}_0} \delta_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}^{(k)}$ does not vanish. In particular, there then exists an associated tamely ramified character $\mathcal{W}_0$ such that the k-th Galois average $\delta_{c,q;\mathcal{W}_0}^{(k)}$ does not vanish.*

REFERENCES

[1] J. Coates, R. Sujatha, K. Kato and T. Fukaya, *Root numbers, Selmer groups and non-commutative Iwasawa theory*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **19** (2010), 19 -97.

[2] J. Cogdell and P. Michel, *On the complex moments of symmetric power L-functions at $s = 1$*, International Mathematics Research Notices **31** (2004), 1561-1617.

[3] P. Colmez, *Sur la hauteur de Faltings des variétés abéliennes à multiplication complexe*, Compositio Mathematica **111** (1998), 359 - 368.

[4] C. Cornut, *Mazur's conjecture on higher Heegner points*, Inventiones mathematicae **148** No. 3 (2002), 495 - 523.

[5] C. Cornut and V. Vatsal, *Nontriviality of Rankin-Selberg L-functions and CM points*, L-functions and Galois Representations, Ed. Burns, Buzzard and Nekovář, Cambridge University Press (2007), 121- 186

[6] D.A. Cox, *Primes of the form* $x^2 + ny^2$*: Fermat, Class Field Theory, and Complex Multiplication*, John Wiley & Sons (1989).

[7] D. Goldfeld, J. Hoffstein and D. Lieman, *Appendix: An effective zero-free region*, appendix to [11], Annals of Mathematics **140** (1994), 177-181.

[8] R. Greenberg, *On the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture*, Inventiones mathematicae **72** (1983), 241- 265.

[9] B. Gross and D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*, Inventiones mathematicae **84** (1986), 225-320.

[10] H. Hida, *A p-adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated to two elliptic modular forms I*, Inventiones mathematicae **79** (1985), 159-195.

[11] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockhart, *Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel Zero*, Annals of Mathematics **140** (1994), 161-181.

[12] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **53** AMS Providence (2004), MR 12 2061214.

[13] Ph. Michel, *Analytic number theory and families of automorphic L-functions: Automorphic Forms and Applications*, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. **12**, AMS Providence (2007), 181 - 295 MR 2331346.

[14] B. Mazur and K. Rubin, *Elliptic Curves and Class Field Theory*, Proceedings of the ICM, Beijing 2002 Vol. 2, 185-196,

[15] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L-adiques associées à une forme modulaire et à un corps quadratique imaginaire*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2) **38** (1988), 1 - 32.

[16] D. Rohrlich, *On L-functions of elliptic curves and anticyclotomic towers*, Inventiones mathematicae **75** No. 3 (1984), 383 - 408.

[17] D. Rohrlich, *On L-functions of elliptic curves and cyclotomic towers*, Inventiones mathematicae **75** No. 3 (1984), 409 - 423.

[18] G. Shimura, *On the periods of modular forms*, Mathematische Annalen **229** No. 3 (1977), 211 - 221.

[19] N. Templier, *A nonsplit sum of coefficients of modular forms*, Duke Mathematical Journal **157** No. 1 (2011), 109 - 165.

[20] N. Templier and J. Tsimerman, *Non-split Sums of Coefficients of* $GL(2)$*-Automorphic Forms*, Israel Journal of Mathematics (to appear).

[21] J. Van Order, *Rankin-Selberg L-functions in cyclotomic towers II*, (in preparation).

[22] J. Van Order, *Some remarks on the two-variable main conjecture of Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves without complex multiplication*, Journal of Algebra **350** Issue 1 (2012), 273 - 299, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.6197`.

[23] V. Vatsal, *Uniform distribution of Heegner points*, Inventiones mathematicae **148** No. 1 (2002), 1 - 46.

[24] J.-P. Waldspurger, *Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symétrie*, Compositio Mathematica **54** No. 2 (1985), 173-242.

[25] S.W. Zhang, *Gross-Zagier formula for* GL_2, Asian Journal of Mathematics **5** No. 2 (2001), 183 - 290.

SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, LAUSANNE 1015, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: `jeanine.vanorder@epfl.ch`